# **ARTICLE**



# **Open Access**



# Inhibitions of monoamine oxidases by ferulic acid hydrazide derivatives: synthesis, biochemistry, and computational evaluation

Arshida Thottile Peedikayil<sup>1†</sup>, Jiseong Lee<sup>2†</sup>, Mohamed A. Abdelgawad<sup>3,4</sup>, Mohammed M. Ghoneim<sup>5,6</sup>, Mohamed E. Shaker<sup>7,8</sup>, Samy Selim<sup>9</sup>, Sunil Kumar<sup>10</sup>, Sanal Dev<sup>1\*</sup>, Hoon Kim<sup>2\*</sup> and Bijo Mathew<sup>10\*</sup>

## **Abstract**

Monoamine oxidases (MAOs) regulate neurotransmitters, and changes in their regulation lead to neurogenerative diseases (NDs). Therefore, MAO inhibitors are used to treat NDs. Ferulic acid, a phenolic compound found in various plant species, has been demonstrated to have a variety of biological functions, including anti-infammatory, anticancer, and neuroprotective efects. In this study, ten ferulic acid hydrazide derivatives (**FA1**–**FA10**) were synthesized, and their ability to inhibit monoamine oxidase (MAO) enzymes was tested. Six candidates demonstrated a more pronounced pattern of inhibitory action against MAO-B than against MAO-A. **FA3** had the highest inhibitory efficacy in MAO-B inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub> value of 1.88 μM), followed by **FA9** (2.08 μM). **FA3** has a K<sub>i</sub> of 1.92±0.73 μM. A reversibility experiment of MAO-B inhibition by **FA3** was conducted using dialysis, and the recovery pattern showed **FA3** was a reversible MAO-B inhibitor with a similar recovery to safnamide, a reversible reference inhibitor. These results indicate that **FA3** is an efective reversible MAO-B inhibitor. In molecular dynamics and docking, **FA3** paired with pi-pi stacking helped stabilize the protein ligand in the active site of MAO-B. According to this study, lead compounds can be used as therapeutic agents to treat neurological conditions, such as Parkinson's disease (PD).

**Keywords** Ferulic acid, Monoamine oxidase, Kinetics, Reversibility, Molecular docking, Molecular dynamics

† Arshida Thottile Peedikayil and Jiseong Lee have contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Sanal Dev sanaldev@gmail.com Hoon Kim hoon@sunchon.ac.kr Bijo Mathew

bijomathew@aims.amrita.edu; bijovilaventgu@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Experimental Drug Design and Development, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Al-Shifa College of Pharmacy,

Perinthalmanna, Kerala 679325, India

- <sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacy, and Research Institute of Life Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sunchon National University, Suncheon 57922, Republic
- of Korea <sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Jouf
- University, 72341 Sakaka, Saudi Arabia 4 Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy,
- Beni-Suef University, Beni Suef 62514, Egypt

<sup>5</sup> Department of Pharmacy Practice, College of Pharmacy, AlMaarefa University, 13713 Ad Diriyah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>6</sup> Pharmacognosy and Medicinal Plants Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Azhar University, Cairo 11884, Egypt

- 7 Department of Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, Jouf University, 72341 Sakaka, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>8</sup> Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

<sup>9</sup> Department of Clinical Laboratory Sciences, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Jouf University, 72341 Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

<sup>10</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Amrita School of Pharmacy,, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, AIMS Health Sciences Campus, Kochi 682 041, India



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Scheme 1 Scheme of synthesis. R=3-Br, 4-Cl, (4-(benzyloxy)-3-methoxy, 4-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, 4-F,4-CH<sub>3</sub>, 4-OCH<sub>3</sub>, 4-O-Bn, or Furyl group

## **Introduction**

The FAD-dependent enzyme monoamine oxidase (MAO) is located in the outer mitochondrial membrane [[1\]](#page-7-0). The enzyme has two isoforms:  $MAO-A$  and  $MAO-B$ [[2\]](#page-7-1). These isoforms vary in their tissue distribution and substrate-inhibitor recognition sites despite having many sequence similarities [[3\]](#page-7-2). MAOs are essential regulators of neurotransmitter levels. Changes in MAO levels have been linked to various neurological disorders  $[4]$  $[4]$ . They play a crucial role in the metabolism of neurotransmitters, such adrenaline, noradrenaline, dopamine, -phenylethylamine, and benzylamine by catalyzing the oxidative deamination of a variety of monoamines [[5\]](#page-7-4). MAOs can cause emotional and behavioral alterations, and neurodegenerative diseases (NDs) by degrading these biogenic amines [\[6](#page-7-5)]. Alzheimer's disease (AD) and Parkinson's disease (PD) are examples of progressive, chronic, and incurable NDs that afflict millions of people worldwide [[7–](#page-7-6)[10\]](#page-7-7). Inhibitors of MAO are a class of medicines used to treat such disorders [\[11](#page-7-8), [12\]](#page-7-9).

To develop new, reversible, competitive, and selective MAO-B inhibitors, the pharmacophoric groups of current MAO-B inhibitors, such as lazabemide, safnamide, and chalcones have been used [[13,](#page-8-0) [14](#page-8-1)]. Recently, hydrazide derivatives have been reported to have MAO-B inhibition capabilities  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$  $[15, 16]$ . The MAO-B inhibiting activity of the ferulic acid scafold has been widely described in the literature [[17](#page-8-4)]. Ferulic acid is a wellknown naturally occurring phenolic compound found in various plant species. It has been demonstrated to have a variety of biological functions, including anti-infammatory, anticancer, and neuroprotective effects. Among its compounds, ferulic acid hydrazide has drawn a lot of interest because of its potential as a multifunctional medication [\[18](#page-8-5)–[20\]](#page-8-6).

This article describes the design, synthesis, and MAO-B inhibitory activity of ferulic acid hydrazide derivatives. The design of ferulic acid hydrazide derivatives was based on the hypothesis that the incorporation of diferent aldehydes into the hydrazide moiety of ferulic acid could lead to compounds with improved bioactivity. Accordingly, a series of ferulic acid hydrazide derivatives were synthesized by reacting ferulic acid hydrazide with different aldehydes  $[21-23]$  $[21-23]$  $[21-23]$ , using a single scaffold with an unsaturated ketone, carboxamide, and olefnic connection [\[24](#page-8-9), [25](#page-8-10)].

## **Materials and methods**

#### **Synthesis**

The compounds were synthesized as per the following scheme:

Step 1: General procedure for the synthesis of ferulic acid chloride.

The synthesis was performed as indicated in Scheme [1](#page-1-0). For the preparation of ferulic acid chloride, thionyl chloride 1.6 mL (0.0220 mol) was added gradually to 1 g of ferulic acid (0.00514 mol) in a round bottom fask. After adding thionyl chloride, 10 mL of chloroform was added, and the mixture was stirred for 8 h. The reaction mixture was maintained in a water bath at a temperature of 60 ℃. After cooling the reaction mixture, excess  $S OCl<sub>2</sub>$  was removed. The yield was found to be 76%.

Synthesis of ferulic acid hydrazones.

Hydrazine hydrate solution was added dropwise to dry ferulic acid chloride  $(0.0040 \text{ mol}, 0.85 \text{ g})$ . The reaction mixture was kept in an ice bath for 2 h followed by continuous stirring at room temperature for another 2 h. After completion of the reaction, as indicated in thinlayer chromatography (TLC), 15 mL of cold water was

added, and the resulting hydrazide was filtered off and dried. The yield was found to be 80%.

A mixture of hydrazide (0.00067 mol, 0.14 g) and substituted aromatic aldehydes (0.00067 mol) was stirred in methanol (5 mL). After 10 min, a few drops of glacial acetic acid were added and refuxed for the prescribed time period mentioned in Additional file [1](#page-7-10): Table S1. The completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The product obtained was fltered, dried, and recrystallized from ethanol.

#### **Enzyme assays of MAO‑A and MAO‑B**

The activities of MAO-A and MAO-B were assayed using kynuramine (0.06 mM) and benzylamine (0.30 mM), respectively, as substrates by measuring the absorbance change continuously at 316 nm and 250 nm, respectively [[26\]](#page-8-11).

#### **Enzyme kinetics and inhibition studies**

Enzyme kinetics for MAO-B were carried out at fve diferent substrate concentrations (0.0375–0.6 mM, respectively) [\[26](#page-8-11)]. For inhibition study, residual activity was assayed after addition of 10 μM inhibitor as an initial screening step, and  $IC_{50}$  values were determined for potential compounds with residual activity of less than 80%, using GraphPad Prism software 5 [\[27\]](#page-8-12). The selectivity index (SI) value of MAO-B was calculated as  $IC_{50}$ of MAO-A/IC<sub>50</sub> of MAO-B [\[28\]](#page-8-13). The inhibition type of the leading compound for MAO-B was determined at the five different substrate concentrations and at three inhibitor concentrations around its  $IC_{50}$  [[29\]](#page-8-14). Toloxatone, clorgyline, safnamide, and pargyline were used as the reference inhibitors  $[30]$  $[30]$  $[30]$ . The enzyme kinetic pattern and  $\rm K_i$  value were determined by comparing the Lineweaver– Burk plots and their secondary plots, respectively [\[30](#page-8-15)].

#### **Reversibility studies**

The reversibility of the leading compound for MAO-B was evaluated by comparing undialyzed and dialyzed residual activities at a concentration of approximately 2 times the  $IC_{50}$  after preincubation for 30 min prior to measurement, as previously described [[31](#page-8-16)]. Two types of reference inhibitors were used for MAO-B: the reversible inhibitor, safnamide and the irreversible inhibitor, pargyline. The reversibility pattern was determined by comparing the activities of undialyzed  $(A_U)$  and dialyzed  $(A_D)$ samples, and by considering the reference results.

### **Molecular docking**

The Schrodinger suite  $[32]$  $[32]$  was used to carry out the molecular docking investigation of **FA3**. The human MAO-B (2V5Z) structure was retrieved from the Protein Data Bank [[3\]](#page-7-2). The crystal structures were improved and

optimized using the protein preparation wizard included in the Schrodinger suite, which also performed energy minimization, hydrogen atom addition, protonation state correction, and protonation state addition. The LigPrep tool was used to build the ligand structure. The co-crystallized ligands served as the automated center of the grid box. For docking simulations, the force Field OPLS\_2005 default settings and extra precision (XP) docking protocol default settings were used [[33,](#page-8-18) [34](#page-8-19)].

## **Molecular dynamic simulation**

Schrodinger LLC's Desmond simulation program was used to run molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [\[32](#page-8-17)]. The protein–ligand combination was initially created for the Desmond system builder panel utilizing compound **FA3** against MAO-B in the aqueous solvent system. For complete protein–ligand simulations and stability trajectory analysis (root mean square deviation [RMSD], RMSF, and protein–ligand contact), the simulated parameters were 100 ns at 300 K, 1.01325 bar pressure, and 1000 frames [\[35](#page-8-20), [36\]](#page-8-21).

## **Results and discussion**

#### **Spectral characterization**

Compound information like the color of the compound, retention factor (Rf) value, TLC mobile phase, IR,  ${}^{1}H$ ,  $13C$ , and ESI-MS was described below, and their spectra were provided in Additional fle [1:](#page-7-10) (Figure S1–S30) Table S1.<sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra confirmed the formation of imines (**FA1-FA10**). In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of **FA1**, the peak at 11.69 ppm suggested the formation of the NH group of hydrazone. The doublet signals were observed at 7.43 and 6.54 ppm with a coupling constant of 15 Hz for acrylic CHB=CHA protons [\[37](#page-8-22)]. The higher *J* value suggested that the molecule appeared as a *tran*s form. The peak at 8.19 belongs to the aldehydic hydrogen atom of **FA1**. The shielded protons at 3.86 suggested the appearance of methoxy group in the final molecule. The presence of CO-NH group at 147.7 ppm in  $^{13}$ C-NMR confrmed the formation of acylhydrazone.

## **FA1: (2E,14Z)‑N′‑(3‑bromobenzylidene)‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑me thoxyphenyl)acrylohydrazide**

Yield: 68%; White powder; Rf value 0.65 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3251 (-NH-), 2943 (CHAr), 1623 (-CO-NH-), 1583 (-CH=N-), 858 (C-Br); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 11.69 (s, 1H, NH), 9.55 (s, 1H, OH), 8.19–6.82 (8H, Ar–H), 7.57–7.54 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.54–6.51 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 3.86–3.83 (s, 3H, OCH3); 13C NMR (500 MHz): 147.7, 144.1, 132.6, 130.8, 129.0, 500.9, 121.0, 116.4, 115.6, 110.9, 55.4, 39.5; ESI–MS (m/z): calculated 374.0266, found 397.1429  $[M+Na]^+$ .

## **FA2: (2E,14Z)‑N′‑(4‑chlorobenzylidene)‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑me thoxyphenyl)acrylohydrazide**

Yield: 72%; White powder; Rf value 0.48 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3253 (NH), 2945 (CHAr), 1595 (CONH), 1510 (CH=N), 813 (CCl); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) CDCl3, δppm: 11.48 (br, 1H, NH), 9.34 (s, 1H, OH), 7.83–7.80 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.36–7.33 (d, J=15 Hz,1 H, CH), 7.66–6.96 (8H, Ar-H), 3.97 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz): 168.0, 151.3, 144.9, 144.0, 143.0, 136.6, 131.9, 130.6, 129.0, 128.8, 120.1, 118.9, 116.8, 112.0, 56.2; ESI–MS (m/z): calculated 330.0771, found  $331.1479$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

## **FA3: (2E,14Z)‑N′‑(4‑(benzyloxy)‑3‑methoxybenzylidene)‑3‑ (4‑hydroxy‑3‑methoxyphenyl)acrylohydrazide.**

Yield: 64%; Yellow powder; Rf value 0.45 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3256 (-NH-), 2944 (CHAr), 1620 (-CO–NH-), 1593 (-CH=N-); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 11.44 (br, 1H, NH), 9.51 (s, 1H, OH), 7.39–7.36 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.52–6.49 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.96–6.81 (11H, Ar-H), 3.87–3.83 (s, 6H, CH3), 8.14 (s, 1H, N=CH), 5.14 (s, 2H, O-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 161.6, 149.5, 149.2, 148.7, 148.5, 147.7, 146.1, 140.6, 136.7, 128.3, 127.8, 127.7, 127.3, 126.1, 121.7, 121.4, 120.9, 116.8, 115.6, 113.8, 113.0, 110.8, 108.5; ESI–MS (m/z): calculated 432.1685, found  $433.2729$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

## **FA4: (2E,14Z)‑N′‑(4‑ethylbenzylidene)‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑met hoxyphenyl)acrylohydrazide.**

Yield: 78%; Brown powder; Rf value 0.42 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3241 (-NH-), 2945 (CHAr), 1624 (-CO-NH-), 1585 (-CH=N-); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 11.49 (br, 1H, NH), 9.52 (s, 1H, OH), 7.40–7.37 (d, J = 15 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.52–6.49 (d, J = 15 Hz,1 H, CH), 7.11–6.78 (7H, Ar-H), 2.65–2.64 (s, 3H, O-CH3), 3.86 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 8.10 (s, 1H, N=CH), 1.22–1.19 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 166.2, 161.7, 148.7, 147.7, 146.0, 145.8, 142.7, 142.5, 140.8, 131.8, 131.7, 128.1, 126.8, 126.3, 126.0, 121.9, 116.7, 115.6, 113.6, 112.0, 110.8; ESI–MS (m/z): calculated 324.1474, found 325.2022 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>.

## **FA5: (2E,14Z)‑N′‑(4‑fuorobenzylidene)‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑met hoxyphenyl)acrylohydrazide.**

Yield: 72%; Of white powder; Rf value 0.71 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3251 (-NH), 2941 (CHAr), 1633 (-CO-NH-), 1600 (-CH=N), 1095 (C-F); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 11.37 (br, 1H, NH), 9.53 (s, 1H, OH), 7.41 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.53 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.11–6.78 (7H, Ar-H), 3.85 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 8.23 (s, 1H, N=CH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz): 161.7, 148.6, 144.8, 142.6,

## **FA6: (2E,14Z)‑N′‑benzylidene‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑methoxyphe nyl)acrylohydrazide**

 $[M+Na]^+$ .

Yield: 71%; Light brown powder; Rf value 0.56 (chloroform/methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3190 (-NH-), 2966  $(CHAr)$ , 1587 (-CO-NH), 1594 (-CH=N-); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 11.37 (br, 1H, NH), 9.53 (s, 1H, OH), 6.53 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.23 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 3.86 (s, 3H, CH3), 7.77–6.82 (8H, Ar–H), 8.23 (s, 1H, N=CH); 13C NMR (500 MHz): 161.7, 148.8, 147.7, 145.9, 142.6, 140.9, 134.3, 129.7, 126.6, 126.9, 121.8, 116.6, 113.5, 55.6, 39.7; ESI–MS (m/z): calculated 296.1161, found 297.1717 [M+H]+.

## **FA7: (E)‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑methoxyphenyl)‑N′‑((Z)‑4‑methylb enzylidene)acrylohydrazide**

Yield: 58%; Yellow powder; Rf value 0.76 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3189 (NH), 2965 (CHAr), 1590 (CONH), 1594 (CH=N); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 11.30 (br, 1H, NH), 9.52 (s, 1H, OH), 7.40 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 6.52 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 3.86 (s, 3H, O-CH3), 2.52 (s, 3H, CH3), 7.65–6.85 (7H, Ar-H), 8.18 (s, 1H, N=CH); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz): 168, 151.3, 144.9, 144.0, 143.0, 140.7, 130.8, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 120.1, 118.9, 116.8, 112.0, 56.2, 24.3; ESI–MS (m/z): calculated 310.1317, found 311.1969  $[M+H]^{+}$ .

## **FA8: (2E,14Z)‑N′‑(4‑methoxybenzylidene)‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑ methoxyphenyl) acrylohydrazide**

Yield: 71%; Yellow powder, Rf value 0.65 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3193 (NH), 2960 (CHAr), 1577 (CONH), 1595 (CH=N); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 11.24 (br, 1H, NH), 9.52 (s, 1H, OH), 6.56 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.40 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 3.86– 3.81 (s, 6H, O-CH3), 7.90–6.40 (7H, ArH), 8.16 (s, 1H, N=CH),; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz): 166.1, 161.5, 160.6, 120.5, 126.9, 126.1, 121.9, 116.8, 115.6, 114.1, 113.7, 112.0, 110.8, 55.7, 39.6; ESI–MS (m/z): calculated 326.1267, found  $349.2530$   $[M+Na]$ <sup>+</sup>.

## **FA9: (2E,14Z)‑N′‑(4‑(benzyloxy)**

## **benzylidene)‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑methoxyphenyl)acrylohy‑ drazide**

Yield: 58%; Yellow powder, Rf value 0.78 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3188 (NH), 2964 (CHAr), 1596 (CONH), 1595 (CH=N); <sup>1</sup>H NMR(500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 9.28 (s, 1H, CH), 7.80 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.78-6.95 (12H, Ar-H), 5.12 (s, 2H, O-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.96 (s, 3H, O-CH<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz): 160.4, 147.7, 146.6, 143.9, 143.1, 136.5, 128.7, 127.4, 126.6, 122.6, 115.2,

113.9, 110.9, 110.4, 77.2, 70.1, 56.0; ESI–MS (m/z): calculated 402.158, found 425.3581 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

## **FA10: (2E,14Z)‑3‑(4‑hydroxy‑3‑methoxyphenyl)‑N′‑((thioph en‑2‑yl) methylene) acrylohydrazide**

Yield: 81%; Brown powder; Rf value 0.59 (chloroform/ methanol=4/1); IR (ZnSe): 3190 (NH), 2964 (CHAr), 1591 (CONH), 1592 (CH=N); <sup>1</sup> H NMR (500 MHz) DMSO, δppm: 11.37 (br, 1H, NH), 9.56 (s, 1H, OH), 6.53 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.59 (d, J=15 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.60– 6.81 (6H, ArH), 3.83 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>) 8.22 (s, 1H, N=CH),; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz): 165.9, 161.6, 148.8, 147.7, 142.4, 141.1, 140.9, 139.1, 137.5, 130.5, 129.8, 128.6, 126.0, 121.8, 116.5, 113.2; ESI–MS (m/z):calculated 302.0725, found  $325.1899$  [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

#### **Inhibition studies of MAO‑A and MAO‑B**

At a concentration of 10  $μM$ , five compounds showed low residual activity of<50% for MAO-B, while only one compound showed low residual activity of<50% for MAO-A (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). **FA3** had an  $IC_{50}$  value of 1.88  $\mu$ M, showing the best inhibitory ability against MAO-B, followed by compound **FA9** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.08 μM) (Table [1](#page-4-0), Additional fle [1:](#page-7-10) Fig. S31). Overall, it was observed that the compounds did not exhibit efective inhibitory ability against MAO-A. The best compound for MAO-A inhibition was **FA1** with an  $IC_{50}$  of 8.05  $\mu$ M.

In the comparison of **FA3** and **FA9**, a methoxy group of **FA3** at the meta-position of the benzyl ether group, which is a common substituent at the para-position of the benzyl group, slightly increased inhibitory activity,

## that is, IC<sub>50</sub> values from 2.08 to 1.88 μM. Comparing the IC<sub>50</sub> levels of **FA8** and **FA9**, a benzyl ether-type substituent in **FA9** showed a better MAO-B inhibitory efect than a methoxy group in **FA8**. The comparison of derivatives with halogen substituents (**FA1** and **FA2** or **FA5**), showed that compounds with halogen functional groups at the para-position showed better inhibition ability than at the meta-position, while halogen substituents showed similar inhibitory properties under the same chemical

#### **Enzyme kinetics**

conditions (**FA2** and **FA5**).

Enzyme and inhibition kinetics were analyzed at fve substrate concentrations and three inhibitor concentrations of **FA3**. In the Lineweaver–Burk plot, **FA3** appeared to be a competitive MAO-B inhibitor (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)A). In the secondary plots, the  $K_i$  value was determined to be  $1.92 \pm 0.73$   $\mu$ M (Fig. [1B](#page-5-0)). These results suggest that **FA3** acts as a potent competitive MAO-B inhibitor.

#### **Reversibility studies**

The reversibility of MAO-B inhibition by **FA3** was analyzed using dialysis after 30 min of preincubations at 2-times the **FA3** IC<sub>50</sub> value (4.22  $\mu$ M). The recovery pattern was compared using undialyzed  $(A_{U})$  and dialyzed  $(A_D)$  relative activities. Inhibition of MAO-B by **FA3** was recovered from  $31.89\%$  to  $102.03\%$  (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-1). The recovery value of the compound was similar to that of safnamide (reversible type, from 21.50% to 71.25%), and it was distinguished from pargyline (irreversible type, from 19.16%

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1** Inhibitions of MAO-A and MAO-B by ferulic acid hydrazide derivatives



Experiments were carried out in duplicate or triplicate. Results are presented as the means±standard error. Selectivity index (SI) are expressed for MAO-B using IC<sub>50</sub> values, i.e., IC<sub>50</sub> of MAO-A/ IC<sub>50</sub> of MAO-B, except **FA1** (IC<sub>50</sub> of MAO-B/ IC<sub>50</sub> of MAO-A)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Lineweaver–Burk plots for MAO-B inhibitions by **FA3 (A**), and the secondary plots (**B**) of the slopes vs. inhibitor concentrations



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Recovery of MAO-B inhibition by **FA3** in residual activities measured using dialysis experiments

to 30.35%). These results indicate that **FA3** is a reversible inhibitor of MAO-B.

#### **Molecular docking**

To learn more about the binding of the lead compounds, molecular docking studies were conducted on a lead molecule (**FA3**) and MAO-B (2V5Z) interactions. We re-docked the native ligands to validate the docking procedure  $[34]$  $[34]$ . The docking scores  $(XP \text{ mode})$  for the lead compounds (**FA3**) were approximately − 8.591 kcal/ mol, while the scores (− 9.648 kcal/mol) for safnamide were comparable. The amide side chain of safinamide pointed in the direction of the FAD molecule, whereas the fuoro-benzyl group was positioned towards the opening of the cavity. Similar positioning was shown in lead compound **FA3** (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0), where the variable ferulic moiety was pointed in the direction of FAD and the benzyloxy group was facing the cavity entrance. The lead inhibitor **FA3** occupied the whole substrate cavity and entered the MAO-B binding pocket (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0). Associations with the residues Ser200, Thr201, Ile199, Ile198, Phe343, Tyr60, Tyr435, Leu171, Cys172, Phe168, Trp119, Pro104, and Pro102 are primarily hydrophobic, and Gln206 is in polar contact. The FA3-MAO-B protein complex is stable because of the interaction between the ferulic moiety, and Tyr398 and the phenyl moiety and Tyr326 through pi-pi stacking.

## **Molecular dynamic simulation**

The FA3 binding mode in the MAO-B inhibitor binding cavity was observed using Desmond's MD simulations. RMSD analysis revealed that the protein C-alpha and its ligand were monitored within a reasonable range for a lengthy simulation (100 ns). In contrast to the protein RMSD, the RMSD of the ligand was stable after 30 ns. The protein RMSD had an average value of 2.11  $\AA$  and a range of 1.2 to 3.10 Å (Fig.  $4A$ ). The protein-specific RMSD during the simulation was found to remain constant, with the exception of a minor change, stabilizing at a high of 3.10 Å at 68 ns. Adaptability of the protein system was assessed by calculating the RMSF of each protein amino acid residue. The 480-498 residue of the MAO-B protein fuctuated more. During the binding process, the atoms in the benzoyl ring of the RMSF ligand



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 3.** 2D interaction (**A**) and 3D visulaization of superimposed orientations (**B**) of lead inhibitor **FA3** (blue) with MAO-B pocket. Safnamide, red; co-factor FAD, yellow



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 4** MD simulation analysis of the **FA3**-MAO-B complex. **A** RMSD (Protein RMSD is shown in blue while RMSD of **FA3** are shown in red color). **B** Individual RMSF for proteins' amino acids. **C** Diagram of 2-D Interaction. **D** Protein–ligand contacts with number of specifc contacts of amino acids with **FA3**

(Fig. [4](#page-6-1)B) displayed minor fluctuations. The 26 amino acid residues the ligand interacted with were Tyr60 (0.45 Å), Leu88 (0.76 Å), His90 (0.67 Å), Phe99 (0.78 Å), Gly101 (0.95 Å), Pro102 (0.92 Å), Pro104 (0.83 Å), His115 (0.99 Å), Trp119 (0.83 Å), Leu164 (0.71 Å), Leu167  $(0.63 \text{ Å})$ , Phe168  $(0.6 \text{ Å})$ , Thr201  $(0.55 \text{ Å})$ , Ile199  $(0.67 \text{ Å})$ , Ile198 (0.59 Å), Cys172 (0.52 Å), Leu171 (0.52 Å), Phe343 (0.44 Å), Leu171 (0.638 Å), Gln206 (0.55 Å), Ile316 (0.54 Å), Tyr326 (0.50 Å), Leu345 (0.57 Å), Tyr398 (0.62 Å), Gly434 (0.56 Å), and Tyr435 (0.418 Å). In the interaction histogram of **FA3** and MAO-B, hydrogen bonds, hydrophobic interactions, and a few water bridges were observed (Fig.  $4C$  $4C$ , D). The number of distinct interactions between amino acids and ligands was normalized during a trajectory of 100 ns. The interaction histogram of the MD study revealed that several critical amino acids, including Gly434 (hydrogen bond), Tyr435 (hydrophobic), Tyr398 (hydrogen bond), Cys172 (hydrogen bond), Ile199 (water bridge and hydrophobic), and Phe99 (hydrophobic), interacted with **FA3**. The hydrophobic and hydrogen bonding contacts at the active site of MAO-B were substantial, because the observed interaction fraction with Gly434, Tyr435, and Ile199 was>0.8. The hydrogen bonds, water bridges, and hydrophobic stability of the ligand protein complexes are shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-1)C, D. Gly434 participates in an 84% hydrogen bond with the OH of the ferulic ring. Tyr398 makes a 76% contribution through a pi-pi staking arrangement with **FA3** the ferulic ring. Ile199, Phe99, Ile316, and Tyr326 displayed hydrophobic contact with the benzyloxy ring. Overall, it is expected that the lead chemical **FA3** will inhibit MAO-B based on trajectory analysis and comprehensive MD simulation.

Collectively, we synthesized ferulic hydrazide-based compounds and assessed how efficiently they inhibited MAOs. **FA3** was found to be a competitive, reversible MAO-B inhibitor. The stability of the complex was additionally identifed by a docking investigation of MAO-B and **FA3**, which was made possible by the pi-pi stacking of Tyr326 and Tyr398. In the dynamic analysis, the participation rates of Tyr398 and Gly434 residues in the interaction with the ligand were 76% and 84%, respectively. The main compounds **FA3** may be useful therapeutic agents for the treatment of neurological illnesses, such as PD, according to the study's overall fndings.

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13765-023-00823-0) [org/10.1186/s13765-023-00823-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13765-023-00823-0).

<span id="page-7-10"></span>**Additional fle 1.** Figure S1–S31.

**Acknowledgements** Not applicable.

#### **Author contributions**

Conceptualization: HK, BM; synthesis: ATP, MAA, MMG, SD; biological assay: JL; docking analysis: SK, MES, SS; writing—original draft preparation: ATP, JL, SK; writing—review and editing: BM, SD, HK; supervision: HK. All authors have read and approved the fnal manuscript.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by a Research Promotion Program of SCNU.

#### **Availability of data and materials**

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

#### **Declarations**

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 8 July 2023 Accepted: 11 September 2023<br>Published online: 05 October 2023

#### **References**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>1. Devenish SRA (2020) The current landscape in Alzheimer's disease research and drug discovery. Drug Discov Today 25(6):943–945
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>2. Ferino G, Vilar S, Matos MJ, Uriarte E, Cadoni E (2012) Monoamine oxidase inhibitors: ten years of docking studies. Curr Top Med Chem 12(20):2145–2162
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>3. Binda C, Wang J, Pisani L, Caccia C, Carotti A, Salvati P, Edmondson DE, Mattevi A (2007) Structures of human monoamine oxidase B complexes with selective noncovalent inhibitors: safinamide and coumarin analogs. J Med Chem 50(23):5848–5852
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>4. Mathew B, Mathew GE, Suresh J, Ucar G, Sasidharan R, Anbazhagan S, Vilapurathu JK, Jayaprakash, (2016) Monoamine oxidase inhibitors: perspective design for the treatment of depression and neurological disorders. Curr Enzyme Inhib 12(2):115–122
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>5. Kumar B, Gupta VP, Kumar VA (2017) Perspective on monoamine oxidase enzyme as drug target: challenges and opportunities. Curr Drug Targets 18:87–97
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>6. Schedin-Weiss S, Inoue M, Hromadkova L, Teranishi Y, Yamamoto NG, Wiehager B, Bogdanovic N, Winblad B, Sandebring-Matton A, Frykman S, Tjernberg LO (2017) Monoamine oxidase B is elevated in Alzheimer disease neurons, is associated with γ-secretase and regulates neuronal amyloid β-peptide levels. Alzheimer's Res Ther 9(1):57
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>7. Ramsay RR (2016) Molecular aspects of monoamine oxidase B. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 69:81–89
- 8. Tao D, Wang Y, Bao XQ, Yang BB, Gao F, Wang L, Zhang D, Li L (2019) Discovery of coumarin Mannich base derivatives as multifunctional agents against monoamine oxidase B and neuroinfammation for the treatment of Parkinson's disease. Eur J Med Chem 173:203–212
- 9. Tzvetkov NT, Stammler H-G, Neumann B, Hristova S, Antonov L, Gastreich M (2017) Crystal structures, binding interactions, and ADME evaluation of brain penetrant N -substituted indazole-5-carboxamides as subnanomolar, selective monoamine oxidase B and dual MAO-A/B inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 127:470–492
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>10. Mathew B, Parambi DGT, Mathew GE, Uddin MdS, Inasu ST, Kim H, Marathakam A, Unnikrishnan MK, Carradori S (2019) Emerging therapeutic potentials of dual-acting MAO and AChE inhibitors in Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. Arch Pharm 352(11):1900177
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>11. Tzvetkov NT, Stammler HG, Georgieva MG, Russo D, Faraone I, Balacheva AA, Hristova S, Atanasov AG, Milella L, Antonov L, Gastreich M (2019) Carboxamides vs methanimines: crystal structures, binding interactions, photophysical studies, and biological evaluation of (indazole-5-yl)methanimines as monoamine oxidase B and acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. Eur J Med Chem 179:404–422
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>12. Tripathi AC, Upadhyay S, Paliwal S, Saraf SK (2018) Privileged scafolds as MAO inhibitors: retrospect and prospects. Eur J Med Chem 145:445–497
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>13. Mellado M, González C, Mella J, Aguilar LF, Viña D, Uriarte E, Cuellar M, Matos MJ (2021) Combined 3D-QSAR and docking analysis for the design and synthesis of chalcones as potent and selective monoamine oxidase B inhibitors. Bioorg Chem 108:104689
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>14. Maliyakkal N, Eom BH, Heo JH, Almoyad MAA, Parambi DGT, Gambacorta N, Nicolotti O, Beeran AA, Kim H, Mathew B (2020) A new potent and selective monoamine oxidase-B inhibitor with extended conjugation in a chalcone framework: 1-[4-(Morpholin-4-yl)phenyl]-5-phenylpenta-2,4 dien-1-one. ChemMedChem 15:1629–1633
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>15. Turan-Zitouni G, Hussein W, Saglık BN, Tabbi A, Korkut B (2018) Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel N-pyridyl-hydrazone derivatives as potential monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitors. Molecules 23:113
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>16. Can NO, Osmaniye D, Levent S, Saglık BN, Inci B, Ilgın S, Ozkay Y, Kaplancıklı ZA (2017) Synthesis of new hydrazone derivatives for MAO enzymes inhibitory activity. Molecules 22:1381
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>17. Singh YP, Rai H, Singh G, Singh GK, Mishra S, Kumar S, Srikkishna S, Modi G (2021) A review on ferulic acid and analogs-based scafolds for the management of Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Med Chem 215:113278
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>18. Nabavi SF, Devi KP, Malar DS, Sureda A, Daglia M, NabaviSM, (2015) Ferulic acid and Alzheimer's disease: promises and pitfalls. Mini Rev Med Chem 15:776–788
- 19. Benchekroun M, Romero A, Egea J, Leon R, Michalska P, Buendía I, Jimeno ML, Jun D, Janockova J, Sepsova V, Soukup O, Bautista-Aguilera OM, Refouvelet B, Ouari O, Marco-Contelles J, Ismaili L (2016) The antioxidant additive approach for Alzheimer's disease therapy: new ferulic (lipoic) acid plus melatonin modifed tacrines as cholinesterases inhibitors, direct antioxidants, and nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 activators. J Med Chem 59:9967–9973
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>20. Kumar N, Pruthi V (2014) Potential applications of ferulic acid from natural sources. Biotechnol Rep 4:86–93
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>21. Liu H, Liu L, Gao X, Liu Y, Xu W, He W, Jiang H, Tang J, Fan H, Xia X (2017) Novel ferulic amide derivatives with tertiary amine side chain as acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors: the infuence of carbon spacer length, alkylamine and aromatic group. Eur J Med Chem 126:810–822
- 22. Sgarbossa A, Giacomazza D, di Carlo M (2015) Ferulic acid: a hope for Alzheimer's disease therapy from plants. Nutrients 7:5764–5782
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>23. Turkez H, Arslan ME, Barboza JN, Kahraman CY, de Sousa DP, Mardinoğlu A (2022) Therapeutic potential of ferulic acid in Alzheimer's disease. Curr Drug Deliv 19(8):860–873
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>24. Wolszleger M, Stan CD, Apotrosoaei M, Vasincu I, Panzariu A, Profre L (2014) New hydrazones of ferulic acid: synthesis, characterization and biological activity. Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi 118:1150–1156
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>25. Mancuso C, Santangelo R (2014) Ferulic acid: pharmacological and toxicological aspects. Food Chem Toxicol 65:185–195
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>26. Lee HW, Ryu HW, Kang MG, Park D, Lee H, Shin HM, Oh SR, Kim H (2017) Potent inhibition of monoamine oxidase A by decursin from *Angelica gigas* Nakai and by wogonin from *Scutellaria baicalensis* Georgi. Int J Biol Macromol 97:598–605
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>27. Oh JM, Kang Y, Hwang JH, Park JH, Shin WH, Mun SK, Lee JU, Yee ST, Kim H (2022) Synthesis of 4-substituted benzyl-2-triazole-linked-tryptaminepaeonol derivatives and evaluation of their selective inhibitions against butyrylcholinesterase and monoamine oxidase-B. Int J Biol Macromol 217:910–921
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>28. Baek SC, Park MH, Ryu HW, Lee JP, Kang MG, Park D, Park CM, Oh SR, Kim H (2019) Rhamnocitrin isolated from *Prunus padus* var. seoulensis: a potent and selective reversible inhibitor of human monoamine oxidase A. Bioorg Chem 83:317–325
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>29. Baek SC, Lee HW, Ryu HW, Kang MG, Park D, Kim SH, Cho ML, Oh SR, Kim H (2018) Selective inhibition of monoamine oxidase A by hispidol. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 28(4):584–588
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>30. Oh JM, Jang HJ, Kim WJ, Kang MG, Baek SC, Lee JP, Park D, Oh SR, Kim H (2020) Calycosin and 8-*O*-methylretusin isolated from *Maackia amurensis* as potent and selective reversible inhibitors of human monoamine oxidase-B. Int J Biol Macromol 151:441–448
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>31. Lee HW, Ryu HW, Kang MG, Park D, Oh SR, Kim H (2016) Potent selective monoamine oxidase B inhibition by maackiain, a pterocarpan from the roots of *Sophora favescens*. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 26:4714–4719
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>32. Shaw DE (2021) Desmond molecular dynamics system. Maestro Desmond interoperability tools. Research, Schrodinger Release.
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>33. Mathew B, Haridas A, Uçar G, Baysal I, Joy M, Mathew GE, Lakshmanan B, Jayaprakash V (2016) Synthesis, biochemistry, and computational studies of brominated thienyl chalcones: a new class of reversible MAO-B inhibitors. ChemMedChem 11:1161–1171
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>34. Parambi DGT, Oh JM, Baek SC, Lee JP, Tondo AR, Nicolotti O, Kim H, Mathew B (2019) Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of oxygenated chalcones as potent and selective MAO-B inhibitors. Bioorg Chem 93:103335
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>35. Oh JM, Rangarajan TM, Chaudhary R, Gambacorta N, Nicolotti O, Kumar S, Mathew B, Kim H (2022) Aldoxime- and hydroxy- functionalized chalcones as highly potent and selective monoamine oxidase-B inhibitors. J Mol Struc 1250:131817
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>36. Rehuman NA, Oh JM, Abdelgawad MA, Beshr EAM, Abourehab MAS, Gambacorta N, Nicolotti O, Jat RK, Kim H, Mathew B (2022) Development of halogenated-chalcones bearing with dimethoxy phenyl head as monoamine oxidase-B inhibitors. Pharmaceuticals 15:1152
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>37. Tripathi A, Choubey PK, Sharma P, Seth A, Saraf P, Shrivastava SK (2020) Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of ferulic acid-based 1,3,4-oxadiazole hybrids as multifunctional therapeutics for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. Bioorg Chem 95:103506

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- $\blacktriangleright$  Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com